§ 404.925

decision; or Appeals Council review has been requested, but a final decision has not been issued.

- (b) You are a party to the reconsidered determination or the hearing decision.
- (c) You have submitted a written request for the expedited appeals process.
- (d) You have claimed, and we agree, that the only factor preventing a favorable determination or decision is a provision in the law that you believe is unconstitutional.
- (e) If you are not the only party, all parties to the determination or decision agree to request the expedited appeals process.

§ 404.925 How to request expedited appeals process.

- (a) *Time of filing request*. You may request the expedited appeals process—
- (1) Within 60 days after the date you receive notice of the reconsidered determination (or within the extended time period if we extend the time as provided in paragraph (c) of this section);
- (2) At any time after you have filed a timely request for a hearing but before you receive notice of the administrative law judge's decision;
- (3) Within 60 days after the date you receive a notice of the administrative law judge's decision or dismissal (or within the extended time period if we extend the time as provided in paragraph (c) of this section); or

(4) At any time after you have filed a timely request for Appeals Council review, but before you receive notice of the Appeals Council's action.

- (b) Place of filing request. You may file a written request for the expedited appeals process at one of our offices, the Veterans Administration Regional Office in the Philippines, or an office of the Railroad Retirement Board if you have 10 or more years of service in the railroad industry.
- (c) Extension of time to request expedited appeals process. If you want to use the expedited appeals process but do not request it within the stated time period, you may ask for more time to submit your request. Your request for an extension of time must be in writing and must give the reasons why the request for the expedited appeals process

was not filed within the stated time period. If you show that you had good cause for missing the deadline, the time period will be extended. To determine whether good cause exists, we use the standards explained in § 404.911.

§ 404.926 Agreement in expedited appeals process.

If you meet all the requirements necessary for the use of the expedited appeals process, our authorized representative shall prepare an agreement. The agreement must be signed by you, by every other party to the determination or decision and by our authorized representative. The agreement must provide that—

- (a) The facts in your claim are not in dispute;
- (b) The sole issue in dispute is whether a provision of the Act that applies to your case is unconstitutional;
- (c) Except for your belief that a provision of the Act is unconstitutional, you agree with our interpretation of the law:
- (d) If the provision of the Act that you believe is unconstitutional were not applied to your case, your claim would be allowed; and
- (e) Our determination or the decision is final for the purpose of seeking judicial review.

§ 404.927 Effect of expedited appeals process agreement.

After an expedited appeals process agreement is signed, you will not need to complete the remaining steps of the administrative review process. Instead, you may file an action in a Federal district court within 60 days after the date you receive notice (a signed copy of the agreement will be mailed to you and will constitute notice) that the agreement has been signed by our authorized representative.

[45 FR 52081, Aug. 5, 1980, as amended at 49 FR 46369, Nov. 26, 1984]

§ 404.928 Expedited appeals process request that does not result in agreement.

If you do not meet all of the requirements necessary to use the expedited appeals process, we shall tell you that

your request to use this process is denied and that your request will be considered as a request for a hearing or Appeals Council review, whichever is appropriate.

HEARING BEFORE AN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

§ 404.929 Hearing before an administrative law judge—general.

If you are dissatisfied with one of the determinations or decisions listed in §404.930 you may request a hearing. The Associate Commissioner for Hearings and Appeals, or his or her delegate, shall appoint an administrative law judge to conduct the hearing. If circumstances warrant, the Associate Commissioner, or his or her delegate, may assign your case to another administrative law judge. At the hearing you may appear in person, submit new evidence, examine the evidence used in making the determination or decision under review, and present and question witnesses. The administrative law judge who conducts the hearing may ask you questions. He or she shall issue a decision based on the hearing record. If you waive your right to appear at the hearing, the administrative law judge will make a decision based on the evidence that is in the file and any new evidence that may have been submitted for consideration.

[45 FR 52081, Aug. 5, 1980, as amended at 51 FR 302, Jan. 3, 1986]

§ 404.930 Availability of a hearing before an administrative law judge.

- (a) You or another party may request a hearing before an administrative law judge if we have made—
 - (1) A reconsidered determination;
- (2) A revised determination of an initial determination, unless the revised determination concerns the issue of whether, based on medical factors, you are disabled;
- (3) A reconsideration of a revised initial determination concerning the issue of whether, based on medical factors, you are disabled:
- (4) A revised reconsidered determination:
- (5) A revised decision based on evidence not included in the record on which the prior decision was based;

- (6) An initial determination denying waiver of adjustment or recovery of an overpayment based on a personal conference (see § 404.506); or
- (7) An initial determination denying waiver of adjustment or recovery of an overpayment based on a review of the written evidence of record (see § 404.506), and the determination was made concurrent with, or subsequent to, our reconsideration determination regarding the underlying overpayment but before an administrative law judge holds a hearing.
- (b) We will hold a hearing only if you or another party to the hearing file a written request for a hearing.

[45 FR 52081, Aug. 5, 1980, as amended at 51 FR 303, Jan. 3, 1986; 61 FR 56132, Oct. 31, 1996]

§ 404.932 Parties to a hearing before an administrative law judge.

- (a) Who may request a hearing. You may request a hearing if a hearing is available under §404.930. In addition, a person who shows in writing that his or her rights may be adversely affected by the decision may request a hearing.
- (b) Who are parties to a hearing. After a request for a hearing is made, you, the other parties to the initial, reconsidered, or revised determination, and any other person who shows in writing that his or her rights may be adversely affected by the hearing, are parties to the hearing. In addition, any other person may be made a party to the hearing if his or her rights may be adversely affected by the decision, and the administrative law judge notifies the person to appear at the hearing or to present evidence supporting his or her interest.

[45 FR 52081, Aug. 5, 1980, as amended at 51 FR 303, Jan. 3, 1986]

§ 404.933 How to request a hearing before an administrative law judge.

- (a) Written request. You may request a hearing by filing a written request. You should include in your request—
- (1) The name and social security number of the wage earner;
- (2) The reasons you disagree with the previous determination or decision;
- (3) A statement of additional evidence to be submitted and the date you will submit it; and